

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/588,729	08/08/2006	Naoki Yamaguchi	060594	3977		
74384 Cheng Law G	7590 04/29/200 roup PLLC	9	EXAMINER			
1100 17th Str			CERNOCH, STE	CERNOCH, STEVEN MICHAEL		
	Suite 503 Washington, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
washington, i			3752			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/29/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/588,729	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
STEVEN CERNOCH	3752	

	STEVEN CERNOCH	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Opendos. 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box it is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WI					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(- TINOT NEI ET WAOTT	LLD WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL		6 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further continuous (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		he issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	od on the Ladble of the or		DTOL OOA		
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		impliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> would b the non-allowable claim(s). 		ate, timely filed amend	lment canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>16 and 17</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 6-15.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3 and 4.					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Len Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752	/S. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752	<u>!</u>			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding applicants arguments against the 103 rejection including Kelly et al, the examiner would like to bring to the attention of the applicant that numeral 256 of figure 5 is in fact a flar pump while 32 is a horizontal path that defines an inflow while 250 designates an outflow. It stands to reason that the flat pump 256 would be the small gear pump as taught and utilized by Kelly. Also, having a joint for detachable driving connection with an actuator would be an inherent feature of a pump otherwise it would not be able to operate nor be taken apart for cleaning and such